

Euler, Gordon



CP16#0536

From: Euler, Gordon
Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2015 4:08 PM
To: 'jmzeider@aol.com'
Cc: O'Donnell, Mary Beth
Subject: FW: 2016 Comp Plan comments submitted

Judith:

Thanks for the inquiry. Alternatives considered in a draft environmental impact statement (EIS) do not have to be mutually exclusive. The goal at this point is to identify any possible environmental effects from each possible course of action. The Board will eventually craft a preferred alternative, which will be analyzed in a final EIS. Elements of some or all of the alternatives could be part of the preferred alternative.

Gordy Euler
Clark County Community Planning

-----Original Message-----

From: Cnty 2016 Comp Plan
Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2015 3:00 PM
To: Euler, Gordon; Orjiako, Oliver
Subject: FW: 2016 Comp Plan comments submitted

From the Comp Plan Inbox.
Mary Beth

-----Original Message-----

From: NoReply@Clark.Wa.Gov [<mailto:NoReply@Clark.Wa.Gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2015 10:53 AM
To: Cnty 2016 Comp Plan
Subject: 2016 Comp Plan comments submitted

Following comments were submitted online:

Parcel No:

Subject: RURAL OPTIONS

Comments:

Good morning! I have a question. Are the four rural options mutually exclusive or could one or more be selected?

Thank you.

Submitted by:
JUDITH ZEIDER

Email: jmzeider@aol.com

Address:

PO BOX 261
BATTLE GROUND, WA